

PROCEEDINGS

A meeting of the Lancaster City Council was held in the Town Hall, Morecambe, at 2.00 p.m. on Wednesday, 4th March 2009, when the following Members were present:-

Keith Budden (Mayor)	June Ashworth (Deputy Mayor)
Evelyn Archer	John Barnes
Jim Blakely	Eileen Blamire
Susan Bray	Ken Brown
Abbott Bryning	Shirley Burns
Anne Chapman	Susie Charles
Tina Clifford	Chris Coates
John Day	Roger Dennison
Jean Dent	Sheila Denwood
Sarah Fishwick	Jane Fletcher
Rebekah Gerrard	John Gilbert
Charles Grattan	Mike Greenall
Janice Hanson	Emily Heath
Helen Helme	Val Histed
Tony Johnson	Andrew Kay
David Kerr	Janie Kirkman
Geoff Knight	Stuart Langhorn
Roger Mace	Geoff Marsland
Roger Plumb	Joyce Pritchard
Robert Redfern	Peter Robinson
Bob Roe	Sylvia Rogerson
Ron Sands	Roger Sherlock
Rob Smith	Keith Sowden
Catriona Stamp	Joyce Taylor
Malcolm Thomas	Jude Towers
Morgwn Trolinger	Tony Wade
Maia Whitelegg	John Whitelegg
Peter Williamson	

111 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Jon Barry, Keran Farrow, Karen Leytham, Ian McCulloch and Paul Woodruff.

112 MINUTES

The minutes of the meeting held on 4th February 2009 were signed by the Mayor as a correct record.

113 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

The Monitoring Officer reminded Members of the requirements of the Code of Conduct in relation to declaring an interest where a Councillor is a member of an outside body and the circumstances under which this would be a prejudicial interest and they would be required to leave the meeting.

Members advised of the following declarations of interest at this stage in relation to items referred to in the revenue budget proposals (Minute No 119 refers):

Councillors Keith Budden and Joyce Pritchard declared personal and prejudicial interests as representatives on the Lune Valley Transport Board.

Councillors June Ashworth and John Barnes declared personal and prejudicial interests in relation to the Morecambe Citizens Advice Bureau as Trustees.

Councillors June Ashworth, Jim Blakely, Jane Fletcher, Ron Sands and Malcolm Thomas declared personal and prejudicial interests in the Dukes Theatre as Directors on the Board.

Councillors Susie Charles, John Gilbert, Sylvia Rogerson, Roger Sherlock and Jude Towers declared personal and prejudicial interests in relation to the Lancaster Citizens Advice Bureau as Trustees.

Councillors Sheila Denwood and Geoff Knight declared personal and prejudicial interests in relation to the Lancaster International Twinning Society as members of the Committee.

Councillors Stuart Langhorn and Joyce Pritchard declared personal interests as members of Caton Parish Council in relation to the Victoria Institute toilets.

Councillors Eileen Blamire, Shirley Burns and Joyce Pritchard declared personal and prejudicial interests in relation to Williamson Park as Directors on the Board.

Councillor Sarah Fishwick declared a personal interest in relation to Salt Ayre as she has a relative employed there.

Councillor Jude Towers declared a personal and prejudicial interest in the LVWA as a member of the Board.

Councillor Jane Fletcher declared a personal and prejudicial interest in Arnside & Silverdale AONB as a member of the Committee.

114 QUESTIONS FROM THE PUBLIC UNDER COUNCIL PROCEDURE RULE 11

The Mayor advised that no questions had been received from members of the public in accordance with the provisions of Council Procedure Rule 11.

115 PETITIONS AND ADDRESSES

The Mayor invited Mr. Ian Blears to address Council in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 13.

Mr. Blears spoke to Council as a representative of Lune Valley Transport in relation to proposals which were to be considered in setting the budget for 2009/10 which would see the introduction of a flat fare for the use of community transport. He urged Members to approve this proposal rather than introduce a more complicated charging structure or any higher charge than that being proposed.

The Mayor advised that whilst it was normal procedure to refer such addresses for report to the next meeting of the relevant body, on this occasion the item was included for debate on this agenda and the issues raised by Mr. Blears would therefore be taken into account at that time.

116 QUESTIONS UNDER COUNCIL PROCEDURE RULE 12.2

The Mayor advised that 2 questions had been received by the Chief Executive in accordance with Council Procedure Rules as follows:

- (1) Councillor Barnes to Councillor Bryning regarding the Storey Institute.
- (2) Councillor Roe to Councillor Barry regarding closing toilets in the rural areas.

The Mayor advised that in the absence of Councillor Barry at today's meeting, Councillor Roe had agreed to receive a written response to his question and this had been circulated to all Members for information.

Details of the questions and answers together with any supplementary questions and responses are included at Appendix A to the minutes.

117 LEADER'S REPORT

It was noted that the Leader intended to update Council on issues since the last meeting of Council as part of his speech to present the budget.

118 2009/10 BUDGET AND POLICY FRAMEWORK

The Leader, Councillor Abbott Bryning introduced Cabinet's Budget proposals for 2009/10 which would achieve the 4% increase in Council Tax approved at the previous Council meeting.

He referred also to the meeting of Cabinet the previous day to consider further recommendations of the Overview & Scrutiny Committee following a call-in of the decision to recommend the closure of toilets across the district. As a result he would be moving the revised recommendations as circulated in a supplementary report at the start of the meeting. He also summarized a number of other amendments to the savings and growth proposals which he intended to include in his proposition.

At the conclusion of the Leader's introduction, the Mayor advised that Council may wish to suspend Council Procedure Rule 17.4 at this point prior to consideration of the Cabinet's Budget report in order to allow an opportunity for representatives of the remaining political groups to present a budget speech in excess of 5 minutes.

Suspension of standing orders was moved by Councillor Fishwick and seconded by Councillor Bray. On being put to the vote the Mayor declared the proposition carried.

Resolved:-

That Council Procedure Rule 17.4 be suspended.

Councillors Evelyn Archer (Independent Group), Chris Coates (Green Group), Roger Mace (Conservative Group), Stuart Langhorn (Liberal Democrat Group) and Peter Robinson (Free Independent Group) then presented their views on the Council's budget process and the proposals being put forward by Cabinet.

Council Procedure Rule 17.4 back in place.

119 2009/10 BUDGET AND POLICY FRAMEWORK - GENERAL FUND REVENUE BUDGET

Councillor Bryning then presented the Cabinet recommendations on the General Fund Revenue Budget and having answered a number of questions, moved the recommendations (1) to (3) set out in the supplementary report subject to the following revisions to the updated Appendix A:

- (i) That the Catch the Wind Kite and Sandcastles Festivals be re-instated at a cost of £9,300 to be funded from savings made by cancelling the Jazz Festival.
- (ii) That the proposed cut in the grant to the Dukes Theatre be reduced by £20,000 in 2009/10 to be funded from the Arts and Leisure Development budget.
- (iii) That the proposed cut of £20,000 in the grant to the Citizens Advice Bureaux in 2009/10 be reduced to £10,000 (£5,000 each) to be funded by a £10,000 reduction in the Community Cohesion reserve.

The recommendations were seconded by Councillor Sherlock.

It was then moved by Councillor John Whitelegg and seconded by Councillor Fletcher, by way of an amendment to recommendation (1):

'That the £10,000 cut in grant to the Citizens Advice Bureaux now proposed for 2009/10 and the £20,000 in future years be deleted, the cost to be met by a corresponding reduction in funding for subscriptions.'

(Prior to the commencement of the debate on this amendment Councillors Ashworth, Barnes, Charles, Gilbert, Rogerson, Sherlock and Towers re-iterated their personal and prejudicial interests in relation to the Citizens Advice Bureaux, left the meeting and took no part in the debate or voting thereon.)

At the conclusion of the debate, 21 Members voted for the amendment, 23 against and 4 abstained whereupon the Mayor declared the amendment lost.

Councillors Ashworth, Barnes, Charles, Gilbert, Rogerson, Sherlock and Towers returned to the meeting.

It was then moved by Councillor Archer and seconded by Councillor Kerr, by way of amendment to recommendation (1):

- (a) That further to Cabinet Minute 97, Council no longer supports the "in principle" decision to close the Dome from 1st June 2009.
- (b) That in order to finance the operation of the Dome for the 2009/10 season, the following budget amendment be agreed to increase the £64,300 sum already included in the revenue budget for the Dome :-
 - The Playgrounds (Improvement, Equipment and Materials) revenue budgets totaling £49,700 be reduced by £18,000 as a one off item only for 2009/10. Should this create difficulties in maintaining playground standards during the year, then use of any available monies in the Renewals Reserve be considered to help the position.
- (c) That the 2009/10 revenue budget for operating the Dome be increased accordingly to £82,300, with the exact opening arrangements and programme of events to be considered further but contained within this agreed budget.
- (d) That officers immediately commence taking bookings again for the Dome in line with the opening arrangements for 2009/10 only.
- (e) Should any emergency capital works be necessary to operate the Dome for 2009/10, they be funded from the £85,000 capital sum set aside in 2009/10 to demolish the Dome.
- (f) That the operation of the Dome be carefully monitored throughout 2009/10 and its future operation be considered further as part of the 2010/11 budget exercise.

There followed a lengthy debate at the conclusion of which a recorded vote was requested in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 19.4. The votes were recorded as follows:

For the amendment:

Councillors Evelyn Archer, June Ashworth, John Barnes, Keith Budden, Shirley Burns, Chris Coates, John Day, Roger Dennison, Jean Dent, Rebekah Gerrard, Mike Greenall, Janice Hanson, Andrew Kay, David Kerr, Janie Kirkman, Geoff Knight, Stuart Langhorn, Geoff Marsland, Roger Plumb, Peter Robinson, Bob Roe, Ron Sands, Keith Sowden, Joyce Taylor, Jude Towers, Morgwn Trolinger, Tony Wade and John Whitelegg (28).

Against the amendment:

Councillors Jim Blakely, Eileen Blamire, Susan Bray, Ken Brown, Abbott Bryning, Susie Charles, Tina Clifford, Sheila Denwood, Sarah Fishwick, Jane Fletcher, John Gilbert, Charles Grattan, Emily Heath, Helen Helme, Val Histed, Tony Johnson, Roger Mace, Robert Redfern, Sylvia Rogerson, Roger Sherlock, Rob Smith, Catriona Stamp, Malcolm Thomas, Maia Whitelegg and Peter Williamson (25).

Abstentions:

Councillors Anne Chapman and Joyce Pritchard (2).

With 28 Members voting for the amendment, 25 against and 2 abstentions, the Mayor declared the amendment carried.

It was then moved by Councillor Langhorn and seconded by Councillor Kirkman by way of further amendment to recommendation (1):

'That £10,000 of the cut in grant to the Citizens Advice Bureaux now proposed for 2009/10 and future years be deleted, the cost to be met by a corresponding reduction in the Arts and Leisure Development budget.'

(Prior to the commencement of the debate on this amendment Councillors Ashworth, Barnes, Charles, Gilbert, Rogerson, Sherlock and Towers again left the meeting having declared personal and prejudicial interests in relation to the Citizens Advice Bureaux and took no part in the debate or voting thereon.)

At the conclusion of the debate a recorded vote was requested in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 19.4. The votes were recorded as follows:

For the amendment:

Councillors Evelyn Archer, Eileen Blamire, Abbott Bryning, Keith Budden, Shirley Burns, Anne Chapman, Tina Clifford, John Day, Jean Dent, Sheila Denwood, Mike Greenall, Janice Hanson, Andrew Kay, David Kerr, Janie Kirkman, Geoff Knight, Stuart Langhorn, Geoff Marsland, Roger Plumb, Joyce Pritchard, Bob Roe, Keith Sowden, Joyce Taylor and Tony Wade (24).

Against the amendment:

Councillors Susan Bray, Ken Brown, Chris Coates, Sarah Fishwick, Jane Fletcher, Rebekah Gerrard, Charles Grattan, Emily Heath, Helen Helme, Val Histed, Tony Johnson, Roger Mace, Robert Redfern, Peter Robinson, Rob Smith, Catriona Stamp, Malcolm Thomas, Maia Whitelegg and Peter Williamson (19).

Abstentions:

Councillors Jim Blakely, Roger Dennison and Morgwn Trolinger (3).

With 24 Members voting for the amendment, 19 against and 3 abstentions, the Mayor declared the amendment carried.

Councillors Ashworth, Barnes, Charles, Gilbert, Rogerson, Sherlock and Towers returned to the meeting.

It was then moved by Councillor Langhorn and seconded by Councillor Kirkman by way of further amendment to recommendation (1):

'That the £24,000 growth item to undertake a Parish Financial Arrangements Review be re-instated in 2009/10, the cost to be met by a corresponding reduction in the Grounds Maintenance budget.'

At the conclusion of the debate a recorded vote was requested in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 19.4. The votes were recorded as follows:

For the amendment:

Councillors Susan Bray, Ken Brown, Abbott Bryning, Susie Charles, John Day, Sarah Fishwick, John Gilbert, Helen Helme, Val Histed, Tony Johnson, Janie Kirkman, Stuart Langhorn, Roger Mace, Joyce Pritchard, Bob Roe, Sylvia Rogerson, Keith Sowden, Malcolm Thomas, Jude Towers and Peter Williamson (20).

Against the amendment:

Councillors June Ashworth, John Barnes, Jim Blakely, Keith Budden, Chris Coates, Sheila Denwood, Jane Fletcher, Rebekah Gerrard, Charles Grattan, Janice Hanson, Emily Heath, Andrew Kay, Geoff Knight, Geoff Marsland, Robert Redfern, Peter Robinson, Roger Sherlock, Rob Smith, Catriona Stamp, Joyce Taylor, Morgwn Trolinger and Maia Whitelegg (22).

Abstentions:

Councillors Evelyn Archer, Eileen Blamire, Shirley Burns, Anne Chapman, Tina Clifford, Roger Dennison, Jean Dent, Mike Greenall, David Kerr, Roger Plumb and Tony Wade (11).

With 20 Members voting for the amendment, 22 against and 11 abstentions, the Mayor declared the amendment lost.

At this point the Mayor advised that the meeting would adjourn for 30 minutes in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 10.1.

The meeting adjourned at 6.10pm and reconvened at 6.40pm.

It was then moved by Councillor Mace and seconded by Councillor Histed by way of further amendment to recommendation (1):

'That the £24,000 growth item to undertake a Parish Financial Arrangements Review be re-instated in 2009/10, the cost to be met by a corresponding reduction in the Arts and Leisure Development budget.'

There followed a further lengthy debate at the conclusion of which a recorded vote was requested in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 19.4. The votes were recorded as follows:

For the amendment:

Councillors June Ashworth, John Barnes, Eileen Blamire, Susan Bray, Ken Brown, Abbott Bryning, Keith Budden, Susie Charles, John Day, Sheila Denwood, Sarah Fishwick, John Gilbert, Mike Greenall, Janice Hanson, Helen Helme, Val Histed, Tony Johnson, Janie Kirkman, Geoff Knight, Stuart Langhorn, Roger Mace, Bob Roe, Sylvia Rogerson, Roger Sherlock, Keith Sowden, Malcolm Thomas, and Peter Williamson (27).

Against the amendment:

Councillors Jim Blakely, Shirley Burns, Anne Chapman, Tina Clifford, Chris Coates, Jane Fletcher, Rebekah Gerrard, Charles Grattan, Emily Heath, Geoff Marsland, Roger Plumb, Peter Robinson, Rob Smith, Catriona Stamp, Jude Towers, Morgwn Trolinger and Maia Whitelegg (17).

Abstentions:

Councillors Evelyn Archer, Roger Dennison, Jean Dent, David Kerr, Robert Redfern and Tony Wade (6).

With 27 Members voting for the amendment, 17 against and 6 abstentions, the Mayor declared the amendment carried.

It was then moved by Councillor Mace and seconded by Councillor Charles by way of further amendment to recommendation (1):

- (1) That the budget allocated to the Civic Programme for 2009/10 for the Mayor to prioritise be reduced from £12,700 to £12,300 (instead of by £4,300 to £8,400 as was included in the Cabinet recommendations); and
- (2) That the reduction be funded by contributing £3,900 from the General Fund unallocated reserve, shown in Appendix B, leaving a balance there at 31.3.2010 of £1,000,066.

At the conclusion of the debate a recorded vote was requested in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 19.4. The votes were recorded as follows:

For the amendment:

Councillors Evelyn Archer, June Ashworth, John Barnes, Eileen Blamire, Susan Bray, Ken Brown, Abbott Bryning, Keith Budden, Susie Charles, John Day, Sheila Denwood, Sarah Fishwick, Mike Greenall, Janice Hanson, Helen Helme, Val Histed, David Kerr, Janie Kirkman, Roger Mace, Robert Redfern, Bob Roe, Sylvia Rogerson, Roger Sherlock, Rob Smith, Keith Sowden, Malcolm Thomas, Tony Wade and Peter Williamson (28).

Against the amendment:

Councillors Jim Blakely, Shirley Burns, Anne Chapman, Tina Clifford, Chris Coates, Roger Dennison, Jean Dent, Jane Fletcher, Rebekah Gerrard, Charles Grattan, Emily Heath, Geoff Marsland, Peter Robinson, Catriona Stamp, Jude Towers, Morgwn Trolinger and Maia Whitelegg (17).

Abstentions:

Councillors John Gilbert, Geoff Knight, Stuart Langhorn and Roger Plumb (4).

With 28 Members voting for the amendment, 17 against and 4 abstentions, the Mayor declared the amendment carried.

It was then moved by Councillor Robinson and seconded by Councillor Marsland by way of further amendment to recommendation (1):

'That the proposal to make savings to Regent Road and the Dome toilets of £12,000 in 2009/10 and beyond be deleted and compensatory savings of £12,000 per annum on Community Cohesion be approved.'

At the conclusion of the debate 16 Members voted for the amendment, 30 against and 2 abstained whereupon the Mayor declared the amendment lost.

It was then moved by Councillor Heath and seconded by Councillor Chapman by way of a further amendment to recommendation (1):

'That the savings of £24,000 identified from the Arts and Leisure Development budget to fund the Parish Financial Arrangements Review in 2009/10 be deleted and replaced by a reduction of £24,000 to the Planning Inquiry Fund.'

At the conclusion of the debate a recorded vote was requested in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 19.4. The votes were recorded as follows:

For the amendment:

Councillors Anne Chapman, Chris Coates, Jane Fletcher, Emily Heath, Catriona Stamp, Jude Towers, Morgwn Trolinger and Maia Whitelegg (8).

Against the amendment:

Councillors Evelyn Archer, John Barnes, Jim Blakely, Eileen Blamire, Susan Bray, Abbott Bryning, Keith Budden, Shirley Burns, Susie Charles, Tina Clifford, John Day, Roger Dennison, Jean Dent, Sheila Denwood, Sarah Fishwick, Rebekah Gerrard, John Gilbert, Charles Grattan, Mike Greenall, Janice Hanson, Helen Helme, Val Histed, David Kerr, Janie Kirkman, Geoff Knight, Stuart Langhorn Roger Mace, Geoff Marsland, Roger Plumb, Robert Redfern, Peter Robinson, Bob Roe, Sylvia Rogerson, Roger Sherlock, Rob Smith, Keith Sowden, Malcolm Thomas, Tony Wade and Peter Williamson (39).

Abstentions:

Councillor June Ashworth (1).

There being 8 votes for the amendment, 39 against and 1 abstention the Mayor declared the amendment lost.

A vote was then taken on the substantive motion as amended. 29 Members voted for the motion, 8 against and 10 abstained, whereupon which the Mayor declared the motion carried.

Resolved:

- (1) That the City Council's 2009/10 General Fund Revenue Budget of £23.99M be approved, together with the supporting proposals as set out in Appendix A to the supplementary report and at Appendices B and C to the report, subject to the following amendments and as set out in the updated supporting proposals in Appendix B to the minutes:
 - (a) That the Catch the Wind Kite and Sandcastles Festivals be re-instated at a cost of £9,300 to be funded from savings made by cancelling the Jazz Festival.
 - (b) That the proposed cur in the grant to the Dukes Theatre be reduced by £20,000 in 2009/10 to be funded from the Arts and Leisure Development budget.
 - (c) That the proposed cut of £20,000 in the grant to the Citizens Advice Bureaux in 2009/10 be deleted to be funded by a reduction of £10,000 in the Community Cohesion reserve and a reduction of £10,000 in the Arts and Leisure Development budget.

- (d) That the proposed cut of £20,000 in the grant to the Citizens Advice Bureaux in future years be reduced to £10,000 to be funded from the Arts and Leisure Development budget.
 - (e) That the budget for running the Dome in 2009/10 be increased by £18,000 to be funded from Playgrounds (Improvements, Equipment & Materials).
 - (f) That a growth item of £24,000 be included in 2009/10 for a Parish Financial Arrangements Review to be funded from the Arts and Leisure Development budget.
 - (g) That the proposed cut in the Civic Programme for 2009/10 be reduced by £3,900 to be funded by a contribution from the General Fund unallocated reserve.
- (2) That in agreeing the budget for 2009/10, Council no longer supports the “in principle” decision to close the Dome from 1st June 2009 and has approved an appropriate budget as follows:
- (a) That in order to finance the operation of the Dome for the 2009/10 season, the following budget amendment be agreed to increase the £64,300 sum already included in the revenue budget for the Dome as set out in (1) above.
 - The Playgrounds (Improvement, Equipment and Materials) revenue budgets totaling £49,700 be reduced by £18,000 as a one off item only for 2009/10. Should this create difficulties in maintaining playground standards during the year, then use of any available monies in the Renewals Reserve be considered to help the position.
 - (b) That the 2009/10 revenue budget for operating the Dome be increased accordingly to £82,300, with the exact opening arrangements and programme of events to be considered further but contained within this agreed budget.
 - (c) That officers immediately commence taking bookings again for the Dome for in line with the opening arrangements for 2009/10 only.
 - (d) Should any emergency capital works be necessary to operate the Dome for 2009/10, they be funded from the £85,000 capital sum set aside in 2009/10 to demolish the Dome.
 - (e) That the operation of the Dome be carefully monitored throughout 2009/10 and its future operation be considered further as part of the 2010/11 budget exercise.
- (3) That the Medium Term Financial Strategy for 2009/12 as set out at Appendix E to the report be approved, as updated by decisions made in (1) above.
- (4) That Council notes the Section 151 Officer’s advice regarding robustness of budget estimates, the adequacy of reserves and balances and the affordability of borrowing.

120 2009/10 BUDGET AND POLICY FRAMEWORK - CAPITAL PROGRAMME

Councillor Bryning then presented the Cabinet recommendations on the General Fund Capital Programme and moved recommendations (4) to (6) as set out in the report.

The recommendations were seconded by Councillor Hanson.

On taking the vote, 30 Members voted for the proposition, 6 against and 12 abstained whereupon the Mayor declared the proposition clearly carried.

Resolved:

- (1) That the current year's revised Capital Programme as set out at Appendix C to the minutes be approved.
- (2) That the five-year Capital Programme from 2009/10 onwards, also as set out at Appendix C to the minutes, be approved.
- (3) That the Capital Investment Strategy as set out at Appendix G to the report be approved.

121 TREASURY MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK 2009/10

Council considered a report of Cabinet which set out various aspects of the Treasury Management Framework for 2008/09 that the Council was required to either formally note or approve by 31st March, in accordance with relevant legislation and/or the Code of Practice for Treasury Management in Local Authorities.

The recommendations set out in the report were moved by Councillor Bryning and seconded by Councillor Sherlock.

On being put to the vote, many voted for the proposition, none against and few abstained, whereupon the Mayor declared the proposition clearly carried.

Resolved:

- (1) That the Treasury Management Policy Statement and the Treasury Management Strategy Statement, as set out in Appendices B and C of the report be noted.
- (2) That the Prudential Indicators as set out in Appendix D of the report be approved.
- (3) That the Investment Strategy as set out in Appendix E of the report be approved.

122 COUNCIL TAX 2009/10

Members considered the report of the Head of Financial Services setting out the 2008/09 Council Tax levels for the district, in accordance with the budget proposals approved by Council.

The recommendations set out in the report were moved by Councillor Bryning and seconded by Councillor Sherlock. On being put to the vote the Mayor declared the proposition clearly carried.

Resolved:

- (1) That the total General Fund Revenue Budget for the financial year 2009/10 be set at £24,513,633.00. (City Council £23,999,000 plus Parish Precepts £514,633).

- (2) That it be noted that, under delegated powers in accordance with the Local Government Act 2003 Section 84, the City Council calculated the following amounts for the year 2009/10 in accordance with the Local Authorities (Calculation of Council Tax Base) Regulations 1992 (as amended) made under Section 33(5) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992:

- (a) 43,200.00 being the amount of its Council Tax Base for the whole District;
- (b) 17,291.49 being the amount of its Council Tax Base for the non-parished part of the District; and

(c)

<i>Parish</i>	<i>Tax Base</i>	<i>Parish</i>	<i>Tax Base</i>
Arkolme-with-Cawood	156.90	Over Kellet	345.45
Bolton-le-Sands	1647.99	Over Wyresdale	120.68
Borwick	92.53	Overton	381.35
Burrow-with-Burrow	89.31	Priest Hutton	94.09
Cantsfield	55.59	Quernmore	230.02
Carnforth	1759.18	Roeburndale	23.32
Caton-with-Littledale	1060.61	Scotforth	132.08
Claughton	57.04	Silverdale	786.16
Cockerham	231.18	Slyne-with-Hest	1352.56
Ellel	935.13	Tatham	207.71
Gressingham	81.64	Thurnham	245.20
Halton-with-Aughton	885.98	Tunstall	64.04
Heaton-with-Oxcliffe	760.93	Warton	899.32
Hornby-with-Farleton	339.80	Wennington	56.37
Ireby and Leck	112.25	Whittington	163.02
Melling-with-Wrayton	149.76	Wray-with-Botton	215.32
Middleton	217.00	Yealand Conyers	109.94
Morecambe Parish	11418.70	Yealand Redmayne	153.87
Nether Kellet	276.49		

being the amounts of the Council Tax Base for each Parish within the District.

- (3) That the following amounts be now calculated by the City Council for the year 2009/10 in accordance with Sections 32 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992:
- (a) £95,852,833 being the aggregate of the amounts which the City Council estimates for the items set out in Section 32(2)(a) to (e) of the Act.
- (b) £71,339,200 being the aggregate of the amounts which the City Council estimates for the items set out in Section 32(3)(a) to (c) of the Act.
- (c) £24,513,633 being the amount by which the aggregate at 4(a) above exceeds the aggregate at 4(b) above, calculated by the City Council, in accordance with Section 32(4) of the Act, as its budget requirement for the year.
- (d) £15,993,940 being the aggregate of the sums which the City Council estimates will be payable for the year into its General Fund in respect of redistributed Non-Domestic Rates, Revenue Support Grant and Collection Fund balances.

- (e) £197.22 being the amount at 4(c) above less the amount at 4(d) above, all divided by the amount at 3(a) above, calculated by the City Council, in accordance with Section 33(1) of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year.
- (f) £514,633 being the aggregate amount of special items referred to in Section 34(1) of the Act.
- (g) £185.31 being the amount at 4(e) above less the result given by dividing the amount at 4(f) above by the relevant amount at 3(a) above, calculated by the City Council, in accordance with Section 34(2) of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year without special items.
- (h) £185.31 being the amount given by adding to the amount at 4(g) above the amount of the special item or items relating to dwellings in the non-Parished part of the District, divided by the amount at 3(b) above, calculated by the City Council, in accordance with Section 34(3) of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year for dwellings in the non-Parished part of the District to which one or more special items relate.

(i)

<i>Parish</i>	<i>Tax Rate £</i>	<i>Parish</i>	<i>Tax Rate £</i>
Arkolme-with-Cawood	206.15	Over Kellet	208.47
Bolton-le-Sands	205.12	Over Wyresdale	201.88
Borwick	196.12	Overton	211.65
Burrow-with-Burrow	202.11	Priest Hutton	206.57
Cantsfield	190.89	Quernmore	198.35
Carnforth	203.50	Roeburndale	185.31
Caton-with-Littledale	201.53	Scotforth	196.90
Claughton	208.10	Silverdale	210.22
Cockerham	211.70	Slyne-with-Hest	206.53
Ellel	202.42	Tatham	204.09
Gressingham	197.56	Thurnham	204.07
Halton-with-Aughton	214.16	Tunstall	193.12
Heaton-with-Oxcliffe	196.48	Warton	203.04
Hornby-with-Farleton	210.91	Wennington	222.12
Ireby and Leck	210.40	Whittington	205.55
Melling-with-Wrayton	237.69	Wray-with-Botton	215.50
Middleton	208.35	Yealand Conyers	198.95
Morecambe Parish	204.62	Yealand Redmayne	209.36
Nether Kellet	208.82		

being the amounts given by adding to the amount at 4(g) above the amounts of the special item or items relating to dwellings in those parts of the District mentioned above, divided in each case by the relevant amount at 3(c) above, calculated by the City Council, in accordance with Section 34(3) of the Act, as the basic amounts of its Council Tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of the District to which one or more special items relate.

(j) VALUATION BANDS

Area	Band A £	Band B £	Band C £	Band D £	Band E £	Band F £	Band G £	Band H £
Non Parished Area	123.54	144.13	164.72	185.31	226.49	267.67	308.85	370.62
Arkholme-with-Cawood	137.43	160.34	183.24	206.15	251.96	297.77	343.58	412.30
Bolton-le-Sands	136.75	159.54	182.33	205.12	250.70	296.28	341.87	410.24
Borwick	130.75	152.54	174.33	196.12	239.70	283.28	326.87	392.24
Burrow-with-Burrow	134.74	157.20	179.65	202.11	247.02	291.94	336.85	404.22
Cantsfield	127.26	148.47	169.68	190.89	233.31	275.73	318.15	381.78
Carnforth	135.67	158.28	180.89	203.50	248.72	293.94	339.17	407.00
Caton-with-Littledale	134.35	156.75	179.14	201.53	246.31	291.10	335.88	403.06
Cloughton	138.73	161.86	184.98	208.10	254.34	300.59	346.83	416.20
Cockerham	141.13	164.66	188.18	211.70	258.74	305.79	352.83	423.40
Ellel	134.95	157.44	179.93	202.42	247.40	292.38	337.37	404.84
Gressingham	131.71	153.66	175.61	197.56	241.46	285.36	329.27	395.12
Halton-with-Aughton	142.77	166.57	190.36	214.16	261.75	309.34	356.93	428.32
Heaton-with-Oxcliffe	130.99	152.82	174.65	196.48	240.14	283.80	327.47	392.96
Hornby-with-Farleton	140.61	164.04	187.48	210.91	257.78	304.65	351.52	421.82
Ireby and Leck	140.27	163.64	187.02	210.40	257.16	303.91	350.67	420.80
Melling-with-Wrayton	158.46	184.87	211.28	237.69	290.51	343.33	396.15	475.38
Middleton	138.90	162.05	185.20	208.35	254.65	300.95	347.25	416.70
Morecambe Parish	136.41	159.15	181.88	204.62	250.09	295.56	341.03	409.24
Nether Kellet	139.21	162.42	185.62	208.82	255.22	301.63	348.03	417.64
Over Kellet	138.98	162.14	185.31	208.47	254.80	301.12	347.45	416.94
Over Wyresdale	134.59	157.02	179.45	201.88	246.74	291.60	336.47	403.76
Overton	141.10	164.62	188.13	211.65	258.68	305.72	352.75	423.30
Priest Hutton	137.71	160.67	183.62	206.57	252.47	298.38	344.28	413.14
Quernmore	132.23	154.27	176.31	198.35	242.43	286.51	330.58	396.70
Roeburndale	123.54	144.13	164.72	185.31	226.49	267.67	308.85	370.62
Scotforth	131.27	153.14	175.02	196.90	240.66	284.41	328.17	393.80
Silverdale	140.15	163.50	186.86	210.22	256.94	303.65	350.37	420.44
Slyne-with-Hest	137.69	160.63	183.58	206.53	252.43	298.32	344.22	413.06
Tatham	136.06	158.74	181.41	204.09	249.44	294.80	340.15	408.18
Thurnham	136.05	158.72	181.40	204.07	249.42	294.77	340.12	408.14
Tunstall	128.75	150.20	171.66	193.12	236.04	278.95	321.87	386.24
Warton	135.36	157.92	180.48	203.04	248.16	293.28	338.40	406.08
Wennington	148.08	172.76	197.44	222.12	271.48	320.84	370.20	444.24
Whittington	137.03	159.87	182.71	205.55	251.23	296.91	342.58	411.10
Wray-with-Botton	143.67	167.61	191.56	215.50	263.39	311.28	359.17	431.00
Yealand Conyers	132.63	154.74	176.84	198.95	243.16	287.37	331.58	397.90
Yealand Redmayne	139.57	162.84	186.10	209.36	255.88	302.41	348.93	418.72

being the amounts given by multiplying the relevant amount at 4(h) or 4(i) above by the number which, in the proportion set out in Section 5(1) of the Act, is applicable to dwellings listed in a particular valuation band divided by the number which in that proportion is applicable to dwellings listed in valuation Band D, calculated by the City Council, in accordance with Section 36(1) of the Act, as the amounts to be taken into account for the year in respect of categories of dwellings listed in different valuation bands.

- (4) That it be noted that for the year 2009/10 the Lancashire County Council, the Lancashire Police Authority and the Lancashire Fire Authority have stated the following amounts in precepts issued to the Council in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, for each of the categories of the dwellings shown below:

Valuation Band	Lancashire County Council £	Lancashire Police Authority £	Lancashire Fire Authority £
A	738.87	94.72	41.61
B	862.01	110.51	48.54
C	985.16	126.29	55.48
D	1,108.30	142.08	62.41
E	1,354.59	173.65	76.28
F	1,600.88	205.23	90.15
G	1,847.17	236.80	104.02
H	2,216.60	284.16	124.82

- (5) That, having calculated the aggregate in each case of the amounts at 4(j) and 5 above the City Council, in accordance with Section 30(2) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 hereby sets the following amounts as the amounts of Council Tax for the year 2009/10 for each of the categories of dwellings below:

Area	Band A £	Band B £	Band C £	Band D £	Band E £	Band F £	Band G £	Band H £
Non Parished Area	998.74	1,165.19	1,331.65	1,498.10	1,831.01	2,163.93	2,496.84	2,996.20
Arkholme- with-Cawood	1,012.63	1,181.40	1,350.17	1,518.94	1,856.48	2,194.03	2,531.57	3,037.88
Bolton-le- Sands	1,011.95	1,180.60	1,349.26	1,517.91	1,855.22	2,192.54	2,529.86	3,035.82
Borwick	1,005.95	1,173.60	1,341.26	1,508.91	1,844.22	2,179.54	2,514.86	3,017.82
Burrow-with- Burrow	1,009.94	1,178.26	1,346.58	1,514.90	1,851.54	2,188.20	2,524.84	3,029.80
Cantsfield	1,002.46	1,169.53	1,336.61	1,503.68	1,837.83	2,171.99	2,506.14	3,007.36
Carnforth	1,010.87	1,179.34	1,347.82	1,516.29	1,853.24	2,190.20	2,527.16	3,032.58
Caton-with- Littledale	1,009.55	1,177.81	1,346.07	1,514.32	1,850.83	2,187.36	2,523.87	3,028.64
Claughton	1,013.93	1,182.92	1,351.91	1,520.89	1,858.86	2,196.85	2,534.82	3,041.78
Cockerham	1,016.33	1,185.72	1,355.11	1,524.49	1,863.26	2,202.05	2,540.82	3,048.98
Ellel	1,010.15	1,178.50	1,346.86	1,515.21	1,851.92	2,188.64	2,525.36	3,030.42
Gressingha m	1,006.91	1,174.72	1,342.54	1,510.35	1,845.98	2,181.62	2,517.26	3,020.70
Halton-with- Aughton	1,017.97	1,187.63	1,357.29	1,526.95	1,866.27	2,205.60	2,544.92	3,053.90

Heaton-with-Oxcliffe	1,006.19	1,173.88	1,341.58	1,509.27	1,844.66	2,180.06	2,515.46	3,018.54
Hornby-with-Farleton	1,015.81	1,185.10	1,354.41	1,523.70	1,862.30	2,200.91	2,539.51	3,047.40
Ireby and Leck	1,015.47	1,184.70	1,353.95	1,523.19	1,861.68	2,200.17	2,538.66	3,046.38
Melling-with-Wrayton	1,033.66	1,205.93	1,378.21	1,550.48	1,895.03	2,239.59	2,584.14	3,100.96
Middleton	1,014.10	1,183.11	1,352.13	1,521.14	1,859.17	2,197.21	2,535.24	3,042.28
Morecambe Parish	1,011.61	1,180.21	1,348.81	1,517.41	1,854.61	2,191.82	2,529.02	3,034.82
Nether Kellet	1,014.41	1,183.48	1,352.55	1,521.61	1,859.74	2,197.89	2,536.02	3,043.22
Over Kellet	1,014.18	1,183.20	1,352.24	1,521.26	1,859.32	2,197.38	2,535.44	3,042.52
Over Wyresdale	1,009.79	1,178.08	1,346.38	1,514.67	1,851.26	2,187.86	2,524.46	3,029.34
Overton	1,016.30	1,185.68	1,355.06	1,524.44	1,863.20	2,201.98	2,540.74	3,048.88
Priest Hutton	1,012.91	1,181.73	1,350.55	1,519.36	1,856.99	2,194.64	2,532.27	3,038.72
Quernmore	1,007.43	1,175.33	1,343.24	1,511.14	1,846.95	2,182.77	2,518.57	3,022.28
Roeburndale	998.74	1,165.19	1,331.65	1,498.10	1,831.01	2,163.93	2,496.84	2,996.20
Scotforth	1,006.47	1,174.20	1,341.95	1,509.69	1,845.18	2,180.67	2,516.16	3,019.38
Silverdale	1,015.35	1,184.56	1,353.79	1,523.01	1,861.46	2,199.91	2,538.36	3,046.02
Slyne-with-Hest	1,012.89	1,181.69	1,350.51	1,519.32	1,856.95	2,194.58	2,532.21	3,038.64
Tatham	1,011.26	1,179.80	1,348.34	1,516.88	1,853.96	2,191.06	2,528.14	3,033.76
Thurnham	1,011.25	1,179.78	1,348.33	1,516.86	1,853.94	2,191.03	2,528.11	3,033.72
Tunstall	1,003.95	1,171.26	1,338.59	1,505.91	1,840.56	2,175.21	2,509.86	3,011.82
Warton	1,010.56	1,178.98	1,347.41	1,515.83	1,852.68	2,189.54	2,526.39	3,031.66
Wennington	1,023.28	1,193.82	1,364.37	1,534.91	1,876.00	2,217.10	2,558.19	3,069.82
Whittington	1,012.23	1,180.93	1,349.64	1,518.34	1,855.75	2,193.17	2,530.57	3,036.68
Wray-with-Botton	1,018.87	1,188.67	1,358.49	1,528.29	1,867.91	2,207.54	2,547.16	3,056.58
Yealand Conyers	1,007.83	1,175.80	1,343.77	1,511.74	1,847.68	2,183.63	2,519.57	3,023.48
Yealand Redmayne	1,014.77	1,183.90	1,353.03	1,522.15	1,860.40	2,198.67	2,536.92	3,044.30

123 SUPPORT TO MORECAMBE PARISH COUNCIL

Further to Minute No. 108, Members considered a report of the Chief Executive which set out a number of issues raised by the Morecambe Town Council Working Group during their consideration of the potential future operation of the new Parish Council and which required a decision by the City Council.

These included a request to allow the Parish Council to meet free of charge in Morecambe Town Hall, to provide some clerical and administrative support to the new Parish Council and to retain the precept income until such time as the elected Parish Council can establish a bank account.

In addition it was reported that the proposed budget for the Parish Council included sufficient funding to allow for the provision of PCSOs in the Parish and Members were asked to consider how the City Council could assist in managing this process.

Options for each issue were set out in the report and it was agreed to take each section separately.

In relation to the use of Morecambe Town Hall it was moved by Councillor Archer and seconded by Councillor Kerr:

'That the City Council allow Morecambe Parish Council free use of Morecambe Town Hall for its meetings.'

Following a short debate, it was moved by Councillor Fletcher and seconded by Councillor Heath by way of an amendment:

'That the City Council allow Morecambe Parish Council free use of Morecambe Town Hall for its meetings for 2009/10 pending the outcome of a planned review of room hire charges.'

With the agreement of the meeting and her seconder, Councillor Archer accepted this as a friendly amendment.

There followed a further short debate and it was then moved by Councillor Mace and seconded by Councillor Charles:

'That the City Council charge Morecambe Parish Council for the use of Morecambe Town Hall.'

There followed a further lengthy debate at the conclusion of which 22 Members voted for the amendment, 18 against and 3 abstained, whereupon the Mayor declared the amendment carried.

A vote was then taken on the substantive motion as amended when 25 Members voted for, 16 against and 2 abstained whereupon the Mayor declared the motion carried.

In relation to the provision of clerical assistance, option 1 as set out in the report was moved by Councillor Archer and seconded by Councillor Kerr. On being put to the vote the Mayor declared the proposition clearly carried.

In relation to the retention of the precept income, option 1 as set out in the report was moved by Councillor Archer and seconded by Councillor Kerr. On being put to the vote the Mayor declared the proposition clearly carried.

In relation to the employment of PCSOs in Morecambe, option 1 as set out in the report was moved by Councillor Archer and seconded by Councillor Kerr. On being put to the vote the Mayor declared the proposition clearly carried.

Resolved:

- (1) That the City Council charge Morecambe Parish Council for the use of Morecambe Town Hall.
- (2) That the City Council provide clerical support to the new Parish Council for the first three months from 1st April 2009 until after the election and the Annual Meeting, at a cost to be determined by the Chief Executive to reflect the actual cost to the City council of any work carried out in support of the Parish Council.
- (3) That, with the agreement of the Shadow Morecambe Parish Council, the City Council retain the precept income until such time as the new Parish Council has established a bank account. No interest would be payable.

- (4) That the City Council agree to retain the PCSOs beyond 31st March, 2009 and as soon as possible after 1st April the Shadow Morecambe Town Council be requested to make a decision as to whether they wish to fund the PCSOs to 30th June at a cost of £11,000 (to enable the elected Parish Council to take the decision for the period after that date).

124 MINUTES OF CABINET

Council considered the Cabinet minutes of the meeting held on 17th February 2009. There were no questions.

Resolved:

That the report be noted.

Mayor

(The meeting finished at 9.10 p.m.)

**Any queries regarding these Minutes,
please contact Gillian Noall, Head of Democratic Services
telephone (01524) 582060 or email gnoall@lancaster.gov.uk**

APPENDIX A

1 QUESTION FROM COUNCILLOR JOHN BARNES TO COUNCILLOR ABBOTT BRYNING (Resubmitted following absence from the previous meeting)

Re The Storey Institute building, Lancaster

One of the major repairs undertaken at the above building was the reconstruction of a retaining wall on the Castle side of the building adjacent to the Tourist Information Centre.

The costs involved were I understand around £50,000.

It has been suggested that the reason this work was required was ground movement from the public highway towards the Council owned building.

If this is the case, what steps have been taken to recover all, or a high proportion of these costs from the County Highway Authority?

Councillor Bryning replied that he had been concerned for some time about necessary repairs and the consequential costs. He advised that the actual costs had been £43,437 which was less than the estimate. He explained: 'As the question notes, the Storey Institute capital scheme has included repair of a failed retaining wall adjacent to the highway on Castle Hill. The retaining wall had begun to fail several years ago and has been supported by scaffolding using the Storey Institute as a buttress.

The main Storey capital scheme commenced in November 2007; an engineer's survey of the wall was completed in March 2008. This suggested that failure of the wall had arisen due to the increased volume and weight of traffic on the adjacent highway, and that responsibility for the failure of the wall could therefore lie with Lancashire County Council. An initial response from the City Council's Legal Service was obtained in September 2008 and suggests that the issue is not clear cut, in that the County Council could argue that the highway was built first and the wall later using inappropriate methods.

It has not been appropriate to pursue the matter further until a suitable method of repair had been agreed with the highways authority and the cost of repairs known. This work has been completed, at the end of the main Storey capital scheme. Further legal advice is being sought to determine how the matter should be taken forward.

By way of a supplementary question, Councillor Barnes asked what action was being taken to recover the money now that the work was completed.

Councillor Bryning replied that he had asked for the issue to be resolved between the two legal departments and that was where things stood at the moment. It was his view that a 50/50 solution agreed by the two Councils would be acceptable in order to prevent a long legal argument which could be costly.

2 QUESTION FROM COUNCILLOR BOB ROE TO COUNCILLOR JON BARRY

Given the fact that by closing the toilets in the rural areas the only alternatives in certain areas are the local public houses, was there any thought given that this could force unaccompanied children and young underage teenagers in to public houses which could be against the 2003 licensing act which has clause in it about the prevention of harm to children and children on licensed premises.

In the absence of Councillor Barry, Councillor Roe had agreed to accept a written response set out below:

'The aim of the Community Toilet Scheme is to increase public access to toilets in a range of alternatives including cafes, pubs, restaurants etc. The evidence from elsewhere is that this innovative approach has not harmed young people neither would accessing toilets in pubs be a contravention of the Licensing Act 2003.'

* * * * *

Page 3
2009/10 Revenue Budget: Savings & Growth

APPENDIX B

Minute Item 119

As Approved by Council 04 March 2009

	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000	2011/12 £000
BUDGET PROJECTIONS : Per Budget Report	25,328.1	26,857.1	28,113.0
TARGET REVENUE BUDGET (for a 4% increase in basic Council Tax, year on year)	23,999.0	24,712.0	25,383.0
SAVINGS REQUIREMENT TO ACHIEVE A 4% COUNCIL TAX	1,329.1	2,145.1	2,730.0
Approved Savings (see schedule below)	-1,387.3	-1,108.6	-1,444.8
Approved Growth (see schedule below)	+58.2	+16.5	+16.8
Net Total	-1,329.1	-1,092.1	-1,428.0
FUTURE YEARS' SAVINGS REQUIREMENTS (for a 4% increase in basic Council Tax)	-	1,053.0	1,302.0

APPROVED BUDGET CHANGES:

SAVINGS :	-1,387.3	-1,108.6	-1,444.8
Corporate			
Management Restructure	-50.0	-50.0	-50.0
Amendments to profiling of capital projects funded from revenue	-179.0	+179.0	-139.0
Removal of 2009/10 inflation increase (general supplies and services only)	-50.0	-51.0	-52.0
Conferences & Seminars : 50% reduction for all Services	-5.2	-5.4	-5.5
Use of General Fund Balances	-3.9		
Democratic Services			
Democratic & Member Support : Printing & Stationery	-7.1	-7.2	-7.3
Member Development & Conferences	-6.7	-6.9	+0.0
Civic & Ceremonial : Civic & Mayoral Functions	-0.4	-4.4	-4.5
Civic & Ceremonial : Floral Decorations	-2.3	-2.3	-2.3
Youth Games (withdrawal from 2010/11 onwards)	-	-8.0	-12.0
Corporate Strategy			
Service Restructure	-30.0	-30.6	-31.2
Communications & Marketing Review	-41.0	-61.0	-61.0
Additional Income : withdrawal of free publicity for LSP (District Council Matters)	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0
Reduction in Community Cohesion Reserve	-10.0		
Information & Customer Services			
IT Desktop & Telephony : use of multi-functional devices (MFD's) & Mobile Phone savings	-13.0	-15.0	-15.0
Revenue Services			
Council Tax & Housing Ben Admin : Staffing Restructures (combined savings)	-104.5	-109.6	-112.5
City Council (Direct) Services			
Waste Collection : Increase charge for Bulky Matters	-11.0	-11.2	-11.4
KIMO Subscription	-1.7	-1.7	-1.7
Finance/Admin/Depot/ Vehicle M'tce : Reduction in establishment	-10.1	-25.1	-28.0
Street Cleansing : Cease funding of 4 Environmental PCSOs	-49.9	-50.1	-50.3
Public Conveniences (Regent Road & the Dome toilets only)	-12.0	-12.2	-12.4
Other Grounds Maintenance : reduced mowing of cemeteries & bridge embankment	-13.6	-13.8	-14.0
Reduction in Building Cleaning service	-24.0	-24.4	-24.8
Playground Improvements and Equipment	-18.0		
Cultural Services			
Salt Ayre : Operational Savings	-119.0	-120.8	-122.6
Festivals Innovation Fund (excluding Kite & Sandcastles Festivals; these are reinstated)	-17.6	-22.9	-23.3
Reduction in support for FIF Events	-30.0	-50.0	-50.0
Removal of Jazz Festival	-9.3	-9.5	-9.7
Arts & Leisure Development	-54.0	-55.0	-56.0
Environmental Health & Strategic Housing			
Fees & Charges (all elements)	-37.0	-37.0	-37.0
Grounds Maintenance : Cemeteries	-8.8	-8.9	-9.0
Planning Services			
Achievement of Break-even for Building Control (reduction in staffing / increase in fees)	-143.4	-138.7	-137.2
Property Services			
Discontinuation of distribution to Members (Provisional, from 2010/11 onwards)	-	-9.0	-9.0
Community Transport : Introduction of Flat Fee (assumes 50% budget saving)	-78.0	-82.0	-86.0
Concessionary Travel: Re-negotiation of Reimbursement Rates	-134.0	-134.0	-134.0
Venue Hire to break even	-10.0	-10.0	-10.0
Economic Development & Tourism			
Removal of 2008/09 Growth (Regeneration Staffing)	-19.0		
Reductions in Support to Outside Bodies			
Twinning	-6.3	-6.4	-6.5
Miscellaneous Grants	-7.5	-7.6	-7.7
Welfare Grants	-2.6	-2.6	-2.7
Lulus	-10.0	-10.2	-10.4
Morecambe Music Residency	-1.4	-1.4	-1.4
Community Projects	-10.8	-11.0	-11.2
Heysham Heritage	-5.1	-5.2	-5.3
Strategic Housing (savings from procurement exercise)	-6.7	-11.4	-15.9
Citizens Advice Bureaux	+0.0	-10.0	-10.0
Council for Voluntary Service	-3.9	-4.0	-4.1
Shopmobility	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0
The Dukes	-20.0	-40.6	-41.2
Countryside Projects	-3.0	-3.0	-3.1
Armside & Silverdale AONB	-2.5	-2.5	-2.6
Forest of Bowland AONB	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0
GROWTH :	+58.2	+16.5	+16.8
Cultural Services			
Extension of Opening for the Dome, for 2009/10 only	+18.0		
Property Services			
Facilities Management : Energy Performance Certificates	+16.2	+16.5	+16.8
Financial Services			
Parish Financial Arrangements Review	+24.0		

SAVINGS PROPOSALS TO BE TAKEN FORWARD DURING 2009/10 (for future years)	-	-	-
Corporate			
Management Restructure (potential for additional savings from 2010/11 onwards)	-	?	?
Support Services Review	-	?	?
Cultural Services			
Museum Partnership efficiency savings	-	?	?
Charging policy for Community Pools			
Planning Services			
Implications of Pitt Report (Flood Defence)	-	?	?
Property Services			
Facilities Management (including energy)	-	?	?

